WHERTON WOTECTION	
Some Martin	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



# COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0251319 DATE: <u>4/16/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:29 AM</u> DEPART:	<u>11:32 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: GEM PAVER				
FACILITY LOCATION: 9845 NW 118TH WAY				
MEDLEY 33178-1043				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JORGE FERNANDEZ       PHONE: (305)805-000         Email: gempaversjf@aol.com       Mobile: (305)970-716         CONTACT NAME:       PHONE:         Email:       Mobile:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14/2015       (end date)				
Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING       (check I only one box for each question)         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): JORGE FERNANDEZ       box for each question)				
Brief Notes:				
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still JORGE FERNANDEZ?</li> <li>If no, who is?:</li></ol>	XesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	- ⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No			

<u>1 – CCB Plant-silo #1 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/1/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No	
<ul><li>application of water of environmentary safe dust-suppressant enemiears when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🛛 Yes

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes b. If tested: (\_\_\_\_\_)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ Yes

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

No No

No No 

<u>2 – CCB Plant-silo #2(white cement/ctr silo)w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/1/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	Tes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		]	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:</li> </ol>	fined		
<ul> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th</li> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> </ul>		🗌 No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	1	No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment o particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	Xes	🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

**Emissions Unit Section** <u>3 – CCB Plant-silo #2 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/1/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>		□ No ⊠ No □ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for cach	question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>appreador of water of environmentary safe dast suppressant enclinears when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a) and non-building of work areas to reduce another particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		☐ No ☐ No

4 – CCB Plant-silo #3 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/1/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each	question)	
<ol> <li>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</li> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No	
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	

2.	. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

5 – CCB Plant-silo #1 (white cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions
---

5 - CCB Plant-silo #1 (white cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/1/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ied		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	- Xes	□ No □ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	

# **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	No
	<ul> <li>b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>	No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	No No No No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?  Yes	No

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🛛 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			
	, <u> </u>	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary [3]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable []	(check ☑ box for each	•					
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )							
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No					
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No					
<ul> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? -</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No					
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🗌 No					
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit,							
<ul> <li>and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?</li> </ul>	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No					
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Ves	□ No					
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes						
L							
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•					
Administrative Changes:	JOX IOI Cach	question)					
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not							
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u	units or						
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? - 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		⊠ No □ No					

<i>–</i> .	In TES, and the facility provide written notification within 50 augs of the change.		
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subm	itted	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

4/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4/16/2012

**COMMENTS:** FRANCIS MORLU OF SOUTH FLORIDA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED FIVE (5) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON FIVE SILOS. ALL THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. I WITNESSED THREE (3) VE TESTS AND DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS. ALSO I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.